M1.(a) $\quad T_{\mathrm{H}}=273+540=813 \mathrm{~K}$

$$
T_{\mathrm{C}}=273+25=298 \mathrm{~K}
$$

$$
\eta_{\max }=(813.298) / 813=0.633 \text { or } 63.3 \% \quad \checkmark
$$

Both temperatures correct for $1^{\text {st }}$ mark.
No CE for incorrect temperatures
If ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ used $\eta_{\text {max }}=95.4 \%$
(b) Claim 1: input power $=55.5 \times 10^{6} \times 5.00 \times 10^{-3}=278 \mathrm{~kW} \checkmark$ actual claimed efficiency $=210 / 278=0.76 / 76 \% ~ \checkmark$
claim not justified because actual efficiency too close to max theoretical.

OR claim would be justified if engine ran at max efficiency (giving 218 KW electrical power)

Claim 2: 278 kW - 210 kW = 68 kW Judgement: claim justified because $55 \mathrm{~kW}<68 \mathrm{~kW} \checkmark$ (and allows for some unwanted energy loss to surroundings)

OR for claim 2:
any efficiency lower than $79 \%$ will give more than 68 kW of heating (WTTE) so claim justified $\checkmark$

M3.
(a) (i) $3.2 \times 780=2500 \mathrm{~W}^{\prime}$
(ii) $2500-Q_{\text {out }}=780$

$$
Q_{\text {out }}=1720 \mathrm{~W} \checkmark^{\prime}
$$

or $3.2=\frac{Q_{\text {in }}}{Q_{\text {in }}-Q_{\text {out }}}=\frac{2500}{2500-Q_{\text {out }}}$ giving $Q_{\text {out }}=1720 \mathrm{~W} \boldsymbol{V}^{\prime}$
(b) - heat pump does deliver more energy than is input as
work on the system but there must also be energy input from cold space

- obeys conservation of energy because work done plus energy from cold space (or equivalent, eg ground) equals energy by heat transfer to hot space (or equivalent)
- obeys second law because (reversed heat engine) operates between hot and cold spaces [accept 'source' and 'sink'] ${ }^{\text {' }}$
- work done on the system requires energy transfer (from a heat engine elsewhere) so overall result is spreading out of energy [owtte] $\checkmark$

M4. (a) $T_{\mathrm{H}}=273+820=1093(\mathrm{~K}), T_{\mathrm{C}}=273+77=350(\mathrm{~K})(1)$
efficiency $=\frac{T_{H}-T_{C}}{T_{H}}=\frac{1093-350}{1093}=0.68$ or $68 \%(1)$
(b) rotational speed of output shaft $=\frac{1800}{2 \times 60}=15 \mathrm{rev} \mathrm{s}^{-1}(\mathbf{1})$
(work output each cycle $=380 \mathrm{~J}, 2$ rev $\equiv 1$ cycle in a 4 stroke engine)
indicated power $=15 \times 190=5.7 \mathrm{~kW}(1)$
(c) power lost (= indicated power -actual power) $=5.7-4.7=1.0 \mathrm{~kW}$ (1) (allow C.E. for incorrect value from (b))
(d) energy supplied per sec (= fuel flow rate x calorific value)
$=\frac{2.1 \times 10^{-2}}{60} \times 45 \times 10^{6}=16 \mathrm{~kW}(15.8 \mathrm{~kW})(1)$
(e) efficiency $=\frac{\text { net power output }}{\text { power input }}=\frac{4.7}{16}=0.29$ or $29 \%$ $\frac{4.7}{15.8}=0.30$ or $30 \%$
(allow C.E. for value from (d))

M5.(a) $\quad$ (i) $\quad \eta_{\max }=\frac{T_{H}-T_{C}}{T_{H}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{360-280}{360}=0.22(\text { or } 22 \%)(1) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$


(allow C.E. for value of $\eta_{\text {max }}$ )
(iii) $Q_{\text {out }}=(23-5)=18 \mathrm{MW}(1)$
(allow C.E. for value of $Q_{\mathrm{m}}$ )
(b) loss of heat to the atmosphere during the process (1)
friction between moving parts (1)
losses in the electrical generating system (1)
variation in sea temperature (1)
any other sensible and correct physics (1)
(c) no fuel transportation costs (1)
no cost of raw fuel (1)
no cost of removing / treating waste products

